

**FINANCIAL PROFESSIONALS' VIEWS ON AI'S ROLE IN ENHANCING  
PROFITABILITY THROUGH EFFICIENT WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT****DR. BHUMIKABEN PATEL  
MONARK UNIVERSITY****ABSTRACT**

This research tries to determine the opinion of financial professionals regarding how Artificial Intelligence could contribute to enhancing profitability by better working capital management. The study investigates how AI-driven tools and algorithms contribute to optimizing cash flow, inventory, and receivables in reducing operational costs and improving decision accuracy. In this regard, the research seeks to find significant benefits, challenges, and the rate of adoption of AI in working capital processes by surveying financial experts. Also, the result is bound to ensure that AI could drive agile and responsive financial management toward driving profitability in competitive markets.

**KEYWORD: AI, FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT, WORKING CAPITAL OPTIMIZATION, PROFITABILITY ENHANCEMENT, DECISION-MAKING, COST REDUCTION, CASH FLOW, INVENTORY MANAGEMENT, RECEIVABLES MANAGEMENT, AI ADOPTION, FINANCIAL PROFESSIONALS.**

**INTRODUCTION**

AI is fast changing industries across the globe, and financial management is no exception. In the competitive market environment of today, financial professionals face increasing pressure towards value profitability with no loss in operational efficiency. One of the roles where AI has tremendous potential is working capital management, in other words, the capital used in daily operations: cash flow, inventory, and all the different types of receivables. Effective working capital management is all about maintaining liquidity at its best while reducing costs to ultimately drive profitability. In that respect, working capital has a big locus as far as decisions to be made by management in finance are concerned. Traditional working capital management relies much on manual processes with periodic reviews, which may be very labor and time-consuming and limited in scope.

Where AI comes in is to offer a strong alternative to offer real-time insight and data-driven decision-making. Cash flow forecasting by finance professionals using AI algorithms, coupled with machine learning, enhances accuracy, besides managing inventories better and optimizing the collection of receivables. Large volumes of financial data are analyzed for patterns and future trends, which these technologies can predict with far more precision than is often achieved using manual methods. For instance, AI can automatically analyze market trends, customer payment behavior, and inventory turnovers for financial managers to take actionable insight with the aim of reducing cash flow gaps and lowering holding costs. In turn, this can act in the interest of agile and responsive financial management. Further, the integration of AI in working capital management goes beyond operational benefits to find its place in strategic decision-making that helps organizations align their working capital strategies with long-term profitability goals. Financial professionals welcome the fact that AI would give them a competitive advantage since it enables them to make faster and more informed decisions, something that is becoming an increasing necessity in an ever-changing financial environment. However, with the use of AI, one finds various challenges regarding special skills, data protection, and development costs that will be carried out for AI implementation.

In this research, we intend to find out how financial professionals view AI as a way of helping firms stay profitable by managing their working capital in an effective manner. This study will analyze

these tools and provide elucidation into their potential benefits, challenges and adoption in corporate finance relating to how AI-powered working capital management is changing financial decisions now and for the years to come. By having these perspectives, organizations can utilize AI to drive growth and profit sustainably over time as the market changes.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Kasradze and Gikorashvili (2024) inspect the effect that NWC has on profitability indicators like Net Profit and ROA, considering Georgian SMEs within the wine industry. They detected a moderate value for the positive correlation; therefore, good management of working capital supports profitability and operational efficiency. Recommendations are brought forward in this research for the optimization of working capital so that SMEs may become contributors to financial growth.

Zikusooka and Serugo (2024), therefore, researched the effect of working capital management on profitability in regard to Mukwano Group Limited in Uganda. The paper intends to put more focus on inventory, receivables, and payables.

From the results of their studies, efficient inventory and payable management contributes highly to an increase in financial performance. For effective profitability, the paper says, integrated strategies and improved payment cycles are recommended.

Tahir and Baloch (2023) examine the working capital management role in enhancing profitability for manufacturing firms in Pakistan. They find that effective management of inventory turnover, account receivables turnover, and a cash conversion cycle brings significant improvements to ROA and ROE, thus indicating that improved working capital management contributes to an overall increase in profitability.

Balaban, Stojakovic, and Madžar (2023) explore how working capital management affects the profitability of manufacturing firms in Serbia, based on inventory, receivables, and payables. They find that a short inventory conversion cycle has a positive effect on profitability from their sample of firms over the 2016-2020 period, while the rest of the variables put under scrutiny, such as liquidity and financial leverage, have no significant effect.

Dash et al. (2022) examine how financial condition and working capital management policy affect profitability in Indian manufacturing firms. The authors find an optimal level of working capital; very aggressive or conservative WCM policies influence profitability with respect to financial constraints of firms.

Khadka and Khadka (2022) assessed the impact of working capital management on the profitability of non-financial firms in Nepal. Based on the results obtained, it was realized that there is a significant positive relation between the current ratio and ROA, which implies that an optimal level of the current ratio together with efficient cash flow management might result in higher profitability for non-financial firms.

Rathnayake, Pathirawasam, and Amarasekara (2021) investigate the impact of working capital management on profitability and firm value for companies listed in Sri Lanka. The results indicate that profitability is negatively influenced by the cash conversion cycle and days of accounts payable but positively by the days of accounts receivable. Efficient working capital management indeed

improves profitability at a firm level. Nevertheless, the resulting influence it exerts on the value of the firm is not so significant.

The WCM components are inventory turnover, debtor turnover, and creditor turnover, which the present research authors, Maeenuddin et al. (2021), have linked with the level of profitability, ROCE being one of the measures in non-financial Pakistani firms. The PLS-SEM carried out by the authors shows that firm size acts as an important moderator in the WCM-profitability relationship, especially for DTO and CTO, in such a way that good WCM boosts up profitability.

Maeenuddin et al. (2020) study the impact of WCM variables—inventory turnover, debtor turnover, and creditor turnover—on the profitability of non-financial firms in Pakistan. Their findings have shown a positive association of return on equity with efficient management of WCM, which hence underlines the optimization of WCM for profitability.

Olaniyan et al. (2020) consider the effect of WCM on the profitability of manufacturing firms in Nigeria that use the working capital constituents in the form of cash balances, trade payables, and trade receivables. From these results, it is indicated that adequate WCM has a positive relation with profitability, and this evidences that well-managed working capital enhances firm performance.

Alsulayhim (2019) conducted research on the relationship between WCM and profitability in nonfinancial firms listed in the Saudi Stock Exchange. He reported a positive relationship between WCM and profitability, where efficient management of working capital contributes to profitability enhancement but with some uniqueness for each type of firm in strategies.

Gamlath and Yogendrarajah (2019) examine the relationship between WCM and profitability in Sri Lankan commercial banks. Their study found that the cash ratio had a very vital impact on profitability, and that effective WCM strategies were highly crucial for ensuring optimum liquidity in the banking sector to optimize financial performance.

Chowdhury (2018) discusses the impact of WCM performance on profitability in the pharmaceutical industry of Bangladesh. Based on the analysis of ROA, ROE, and EPS versus WCM measures, evidence of a positive correlation between efficiency in WCM and profitability comes up, therefore highlighting the importance of the same in the sight of all financial managers.

The paper by Mustafa et al. analyzes the impact of WCM on the profitability of Procter & Gamble over a 20-year period. The outcome, using ROE as a measure of profitability, points out that good management of cash conversion cycle and also that of the debt ratio positively influences profitability, while longer average collection periods affect it negatively.

Boțoc and Anton (2017) investigate WCM's impact on the profitability of high-growth firms in emerging European economies. Their results bear evidence of an inverted U-shaped relationship, thereby signifying that there is an optimal level of WCM which maximizes profitability; thus, implying major implications for financial strategy.

Sunnykumar et al. (2017) analyze the impact of WCM on profitability and liquidity for Indian manufacturing firms during the period 2009-10 to 2014-15. Based on their analysis, they conclude that inventory turnover ratio, creditors turnover ratio, and asset turnover ratio make a significant

difference in affecting profitability and thus underscore a dire requirement for sound WCM policies for sustaining profitability in the manufacturing industries.

Shams et al. (2016) investigate the association among working capital management, financial provision decisions, and profitability with regard to firms listed in the Tehran Stock Exchange. Any positive correlation observed, they believe, confirms and points to effective decisions on working capital management and financial provisions, translating into high profitability.

In this paper, Haron and Nomran (2016) present an inquiry regarding the WCM determinants in Malaysian firms before, during, and after the financial crisis of 2008. In their findings, it is divulged that the major factors which could affect WCM are profitability, debt, sales growth, and firm size across each period, while showing negative and consistent relationships between profitability, size of the firm, and WCM, hence supporting the pecking order theory. Haron and Normran (2016) examine the working capital management determinants in Malaysian firms around the 2008 financial crisis and find consistent results on profitability and firm size in reducing WCM during pre-crisis, crisis, and post-crisis periods, respectively, by emphasizing the importance of efficient WCM practices irrespective of economic conditions.

## RESEARCH GAP

While Artificial Intelligence has already shown huge potential for optimizing financial processes, research on the impact of AI in a working capital management context is at an evolving stage. The benefits of AI in finance have been covered broadly in existing literature without diving deep into a specific review that denotes how these AI-driven tools are impacting cash flow, inventory, and receivables to create a more profitable environment. Further, few studies have captured the views of financial professionals on practical challenges and adoption barriers related to AI in working capital management. This lack creates further impetus for conducting a comprehensive study on how AI refines best-in-class working capital practices in terms of real-time decisioning, cost reduction, and alignment with strategy. Such a discussion would provide a more correct understanding of the role AI can play in transforming working capital management for greater financial efficiency and growth.

## RESEARCH OBJECTIVES:

- To analyze the impact of AI-driven tools on optimizing cash flow, inventory, and receivables management in financial operations.
- To examine financial professionals' perspectives on the benefits and challenges of adopting AI for working capital management.
- To assess the extent to which AI adoption in working capital management contributes to enhanced profitability and operational efficiency in organizations.

### Hypothesis 1 (Related to Objective 1)

- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** AI-driven tools do not have a significant impact on optimizing cash flow, inventory, and receivables management in financial operations.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** AI-driven tools have a significant impact on optimizing cash flow, inventory, and receivables management in financial operations.

### Hypothesis 2 (Related to Objective 2)

- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** Financial professionals do not perceive significant benefits or challenges in adopting AI for working capital management.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** Financial professionals perceive significant benefits and challenges in adopting AI for working capital management.

### Hypothesis 3 (Related to Objective 3)

- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** AI adoption in working capital management does not significantly contribute to enhanced profitability and operational efficiency in organizations.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** AI adoption in working capital management significantly contributes to enhanced profitability and operational efficiency in organizations.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### Research Design:

The research design adopted for the study is descriptive, the type needed to study the impact of AI on working capital management in understanding the perceptions of financial professionals on the role of AI in enhancing profitability, cash flow, inventory, and receivables.

### Population and Sampling:

Targeted respondents are financial professionals who work in various industries and are also familiar with working capital management processes. The random sample was done to ensure diversified participation across various levels of experience and demography; the sample size is 70 for statistical validity.

### Data Collection Method:

Data collection was done using a structured questionnaire. The perception about something can be measured on a Likert scale. Specific questions in the questionnaire were related to demographic details and research objectives of AI regarding its impact and professionals' perception of its benefits and challenges.

### Development of the Questionnaire:

The structured questionnaire was developed in view of the objectives of the study, including questions related to demographic details, AI adoption scale, and perception-based statements based on a 1 to 5 Likert scale. Afterwards, its face validity was checked through a pilot test, which assured that all the questions were clear and relevant for the purpose stated above.

### Data Analysis Techniques:

Different statistical tests were conducted on the data gathered. For testing Hypothesis 1 on AI-driven tool influence, a One-Sample t-Test was used. Testing Hypothesis 2, on the perceptions regarding demographic percepts, demanded a Chi-Square Test of Independence. Lastly, assessing Hypothesis 3 was the measurement of perceived profitability for the adoption of AI using Pearson's correlation.

### Ethical Considerations:

The ethical considerations of the study are Participation was strictly on a volunteer basis; Informed consent was required; and assurance of anonymity of respondents.

### Limitations:

The statement of the method pointed out several limitations, such as bias in sampling, so the findings are based on what was self-reported by respondents and thus may not be generalizable to other financial sectors or regions.



## DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

### ONE-SAMPLE T-TEST RESULTS FOR HYPOTHESIS 1

This report describes the result of a single-sample t-test performed to analyze the impact of AI-driven tools on working capital management. It tested whether the means of the responses to each question are significantly different from the neutral midpoint utility (3) of the 5-point Likert scale. The result is significant at 0.05.

Question	t-Statistic	p-Value	Decision
AI tools improve cash flow management by providing real-time insights.	0.6042	0.5477	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant difference)
AI-driven inventory management reduces holding costs in my organization.	0.5867	0.5593	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant difference)
AI enhances receivables collection by predicting customer payment patterns.	-0.7884	0.4331	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant difference)
AI-driven tools help streamline working capital processes effectively.	0.7842	0.4356	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant difference)
AI's predictive analytics improve decision-making accuracy in working capital management.	0.4377	0.6630	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant difference)

### CHI-SQUARE TEST OF INDEPENDENCE RESULTS FOR HYPOTHESIS 2

The following report shows the results of the Chi-Square Test of Independence, which was used to analyze the association between gender and the perceptions of financial professionals on the benefit and challenges of the adoption of AI in working capital management. Each question was tested for a significant association with gender at 0.05 significance levels.

Question	Chi-Square Statistic	p-Value	Decision
AI adoption has led to significant cost savings in working capital management.	6.7522	0.1496	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant association)
AI tools align well with my organization's long-term profitability goals.	1.5552	0.8168	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant association)
Implementing AI in working capital management poses technical challenges.	7.5378	0.1101	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant association)
Financial professionals find it easy to interpret AI-driven data insights for working capital decisions.	7.2308	0.1242	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant association)
AI adoption in working capital management requires specialized skills.	4.2411	0.3744	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant association)
Financial professionals face privacy concerns with AI-driven working capital management.	3.3178	0.5061	Fail to Reject H0 (No significant association)

### PEARSON CORRELATION TEST RESULTS FOR HYPOTHESIS 3

The following report provides the result of the Pearson Correlation Test in analyzing the relationship between AI adoption and perceived profitability in working capital management. This test checked whether the aggregated AI adoption scores significantly correlated with responses to the question about profitability. The significance level was set at 0.05.

Statistic	Value	Decision
Correlation Statistic	0.4024	Reject H0 (Significant correlation)
p-Value	0.0006	

Here is a summary of the hypothesis tests conducted for your study:

#### Hypothesis 1: Impact of AI-Driven Tools on Cash Flow, Inventory, and Receivables Management

- **Test Used:** One-Sample T-Test
- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** The mean response for each question is 3 (neutral), indicating no significant impact of AI-driven tools on cash flow, inventory, and receivables management.
- **Results:** For each question related to AI's impact on cash flow, inventory, and receivables, the p-values were greater than the significance level of 0.05.
- **Conclusion: Fail to Reject H0** (No significant difference). There is insufficient evidence to suggest a significant impact of AI on these areas, as the responses were close to the neutral midpoint.

#### Hypothesis 2: Financial Professionals' Perceptions of Benefits and Challenges of AI Adoption

- **Test Used:** Chi-Square Test of Independence
- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** No significant association between gender and perceptions of AI benefits and challenges.
- **Results:** For each question related to perceptions of AI adoption, the p-values were greater than 0.05.
- **Conclusion: Fail to Reject H0** (No significant association). There is no significant association between gender and perceptions of AI benefits and challenges in working capital management.

#### Hypothesis 3: Correlation Between AI Adoption and Perceived Profitability and Efficiency

- **Test Used:** Pearson Correlation Test
- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** No significant correlation between AI adoption (aggregate scores) and perceived profitability.
- **Results:** A correlation coefficient of 0.402 with a p-value of 0.000553 (less than 0.05).
- **Conclusion: Reject H0** (Significant correlation). There is a statistically significant positive correlation between AI adoption and perceived profitability, suggesting that as AI adoption increases, perceptions of profitability also tend to be higher.

### CONCLUSION

The results of this study also show a very mixed view on how AI will enhance working capital management, since there is great variation in the level of support for many of the domains. The first hypothesis was to investigate how AI-driven solutions may enhance the effectiveness of cash flow, inventory, and receivables management, but considerable evidence could not be found to support this hypothesis. Most of the responses are concentrated around the middle neutrality, in a way that

financial professionals do not really believe AI is going to have significant impacts on the optimization of these specific working capital components. Even in those cases when AI does bring partial benefits, complete appreciation of its actual effects on daily cash flow and inventory management may be fully realized only when this technology is further refined or integrated into existing systems. The second hypothesis was that by gender, the perceptions of AI benefits and challenges differed. The results of the Chi-Square Test of Independence showed there was no significant association; there is no difference in views from gender regarding AI benefits and challenges in managing working capital. This result therefore tends to suggest that perceptions about AI are reasonably consistent across the genders, reinforcing the view that AI's role in working capital is broadly viewed through a professional rather than a demographic lens.

A third hypothesis regarding the relationship between the adoption of AI and perceived profitability revealed a significant positive correlation, meaning that the financial professional who adopts AI in working capital management will also perceive improvement in profitability and operational efficiency. This would point to the potential for AI to enhance financial outcomes and thus support the strategic integration of AI for businesses at large: those aimed at improving profitability.

In the meantime, the study generally discovers that while the adoption of AI is positively related to profitability perceptions, the concrete evidence as regards its effect on the components of core working capital remains unproven. These insights support continued exploration in AI tools that are designed for working capital function optimization in such a way that there should be clear bases for enhancements in profitability within the bounds of financial management.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this, a few recommendations may be listed as follows for leveraging AI in working capital management effectively:

**Deepen AI Integration in Core Processes:** While AI adoption does indeed correlate with perceived profitability, its focused impact is still limited on cash flow, inventory, and receivables. Companies would be well advised to invest in more advanced AI solutions that would give way to functionality around those sweet spots, such as predictive analytics for cash flow and inventory forecasting

**Emphasize User Training and Skill Development:**

The users among financial professionals will probably need training in the use of AI tools for the effective management of working capital. Organizations must therefore focus on skill development initiatives to bridge the knowledge gaps and help users tap into the full benefit of AI.

**Consistency of Perception:** Since the perception of the benefits and challenges posed by AI is consistent across genders, standardized strategies can be implemented in teams focused on AI within companies. This would perhaps create a uniform prioritization of adoption of AI in working capital management.

**Monitor Profitability Outcomes:** Since AI adoption is positively associated with profitability perceptions, organizations should continually gauge the ways in which AI influences financial outcomes. This feedback loop will provide insight into what the real impact of AI is on profitability, a guide for further investment and integration.

Operating in compliance with these recommendations allows organizations to fully maximize AI applications in working capital management and profitability.

## REFERENCES



- Haron, R., & Nomran, N. M. (2016). Determinants of working capital management before, during, and after the global financial crisis of 2008: Evidence from Malaysia. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 50(5), 463-478. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2016.0029>
- Kasradze, T., & Gikorashvili, P. (2024). The impact of working capital management on profitability of small and medium enterprises: The case of wine-producing companies of Georgia. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.55367/GMVW2326>
- Zikusooka, E., & Serugo, E. K. (2024). Working capital management and its impact on financial profitability of Mukwano Group of companies in Uganda. *Metropolitan Journal of Business & Economics*, 3(1), 549-555.
- Tahir, S., & Baloch, S. (2023). Role of working capital management in enhancing firm profitability: An empirical study on the manufacturing companies of Pakistan. *Journal of Economic Impact*, 5(3), 231-237. <https://doi.org/10.52223/econimpact.2023.5308>
- Balaban, S., Stoiljković, B., & Madžar, L. (2023). Role of working capital management in achieving profitability in Serbia. *Ekonomikapreduzeca*, 73(1), 388-399. <https://doi.org/10.5937/EKOPRE2308388B>
- Dash, S. R., Sethi, M., & Swain, R. K. (2022). Financial condition, working capital policy and profitability: Evidence from Indian companies. *Journal of Indian Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIBR-12-2020-0378>
- Khadka, S., & Khadka, A. K. (2022). Impact of working capital management on profitability of non-financial firms. *KMC Research Journal*, 6, 85-96. <https://doi.org/10.3126/kmcj.v6i6.59374>
- Rathnayake, S., Pathirawasam, C., & Amarasekara, A. G. D. T. (2021). The impact of working capital management on firm profitability and value. *Journal of Business and Technology*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.4038/jbt.v5i1.26>
- Maenuddin, M., Yusrini, L., Hamid, S. A., Khan, A. I., & Ghayasuddin. (2021). Working capital management and firm's financial performance (ROCE). *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(9), 1848-1862.
- Maenuddin, M., Yusrini, L., Md Nassir, A., Hafeez, M., Chughtai, M. S., & Hussain, A. (2020). An empirical investigation of working capital management components and its association with firm's profitability (ROE). *Talent Development and Excellence*, 12(3s), 2644-2662.
- Olaniyan, N., Adegboyo, O., & Olugbamiye, D. O. (2020). Effects of working capital management on profitability in manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting and Management*, 10(3), 154-165. <https://doi.org/10.55367/JAM-1020>
- Alsulayhim, N. A. (2019). The relationship between working capital management and profitability. *International Business Research*, 12(8), 142-153. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v12n8p142>
- Gamlath, G. R. M., & Yogendrarajah, Y. (2019). Working capital management and profitability: An analysis of listed commercial banks in Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 13(8), 39-48. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v13n8p39>
- Chowdhury, A. H. M. Y. (2018). Working Capital Management and Firms Profitability. *Bangladesh University of Professionals*.
- Shams, A., Vadie, M., Moghaddam, A. T., & Parsian, H. (2016). The effect of working capital management on the decisions of financial provision and profitability. *Conference Paper, 14th National Accounting Conference, Urmia University*. <https://doi.org/10.303912167>
- Sunnykumar, A., Prasad, V. J., & Lakshmi, V. V. K. (2017). Impact of working capital management and profitability in Indian manufacturing industries. *Industrial Engineering Journal*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.26488/IEJ.10.2.21>

- Haron, R., & Nomran, N. M. (2016). *Determinants of working capital management before, during, and after the global financial crisis of 2008: Evidence from Malaysia*. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 50(5), 463-478. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2016.0029>
- Boțoc, C., & Anton, S. G. (2017). *Is profitability driven by working capital management? Evidence for high-growth firms from emerging Europe*. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 18(6), 1135-1155. <https://doi.org/10.3846/16111699.2017.1402362>
- Mustafa, S., Hena, S., & Zafar, A. (2018). *Effects of working capital management of Procter & Gamble on its profitability*. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 9(7), 35-41.